

Year 2 Oral Case Presentation Scoring Rubric

Story and Chronology (HPI)

0	1	2	3	4
Does not identify chief complaint	Identifies the CC but with addition of minimal details regarding the HPI	Identifies the CC and includes some description but with major omissions regarding description of the CC	Full description of the CC but either disjointed, lack of flow to the story, OR missing other HPI elements	Full description of Chief Complaint, with all elements of HPI AND excellent chronology

Organization of Entire Oral Presentation

0	1	2	3	4
No organization	Some correct information but with major omissions; presented in disorganized manner	Partially presents medical information with some organization; states headings but missing 2 or more headings; order incorrect	Maintains format of the presentation, including all basic information; states headings; missing 1 heading; some elements out of order	Complete, accurate presentation, with stated headings, & distinct information. All components in the correct order.

Argument and Pertinence

0	1	2	3	4
Fragmented presentation with no clear argument as to the <u>DDx</u> .	Some argument made but lacking key positive findings AND significant non-pertinent historical information included	Reasonable inclusion of pertinent positives; argument of <u>DDx</u> not firmly supported by facts.	Inclusion of pertinent positive and negatives; listener understands the possible <u>DDx</u> based on presentation, but some non-pertinent included	Pertinent information; listener able to have a clear understanding of possible <u>DDx</u> ; design of presentation clearly supports a clinical problem

Speech

0	1	2	3	4
Unclear, fragmented thoughts; mumbling; relies heavily on notes.	Can follow most of the presentation; reads from notes.	Reasonably clear and intelligible; reads from notes more often than not.	Reasonably clear and intelligible; minimal use of notes.	Fluent, concise, clear, and coherent; minimal use of notes; good eye contact